

# **RESPONSE OF TOMATO (LYCOPERSICUM ESCULENTUM) TO COBALT SUPPLEMENT**

Sh.Sh, Holah<sup>1</sup>, S.T. Abou Zeid<sup>1</sup>, Nadia Gad<sup>2</sup> and Abbas M.M. <sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture – Cairo University. <sup>2\*</sup>Plant Nutrition Department, National Research Centre El- Booth St., Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.

# Abstract

A Pot experiment was conducted to evaluate influence of cobalt on tomato growth and yield. The experiment was carried out at wire house, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt during (2016) season. Plastic pots of 10 kg capacity were filled with used. Tomato seedlings at the third truly leaves were irrigated once with 0.0 - 2.5 - 5.0 - 7.5 - 10.0 - 12.5 - 15.0 - 17.5 and 20 ppm cobalt. The obtained results indicate that, all cobalt rates significantly increased tomato plants growth, yield, mineral composition and chemical constituents. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm gave the greatest values. As cobalt increasing in plant media the promotive effect decreased.

Key words : Cobalt-Tomato-Yield quantity and quality.

# Introduction

The tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* MILL.) belongs to the family solanaceae. Tomato is a very important vegetable crop in the world. The fruits is used as a fresh salad vegetable and is also a popular ingredient in soups stews, sauces and various other dishes.

Cobalt is considered to be a beneficial element for higher plants.Tomatoes, the most important vegetable crop in the world, is known to response well to cobalt application. Smith 1991) stated that, cobalt is a border element. Cobalt is essential for the synthesis of vitamin  $B_{12}$  which is required for human and animal nutrition. (Young 1983) stated that cobalt does not accumulate in human body, as the other heavy metals with the increase in age. (Atta - Ali et al., 1991) pointed that supplementing nutrient solution with low level of cobalt (0.25ppm) enhanced growth of tomato plants and improved both flowering, fruiting as well as mineral composition in both shoots and roots. (Walser et al., 1996) showed that cobalt application (2.7 kg Co/ha soil ) containing 0.81 mg available (Co / kg) increased tomato leaf number as well as surface of chloroplasts/unit leaf area, leaf chlorophyll content, leaf area and rate of photosynthesis. (Markova 2001) found that soil application of 0.7 kg cobalt sulphate per hectar before transplanting increased both total soluble solids and total soluble sugars compared with untreated tomato plants (Jolley, 2004) stated that cobalt is considered a border element for plant nutrition. It is proved to be beneficial for higher plants such as tomato, cucumber and olive in spite of the absence of evidence for direct role in their metabolism. (Nadia Gad, 2005) added that The addition of 7.5 ppm cobalt gave significant promotive effect on tomato growth, yield and fruits quality. Higher concentrations more than 7.5 ppm reduce the promotive effect. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm significantly increased the content of nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, Mn, Cu and Zn. According to (Saraf and Twari 2004), the high rates of nitrogen being favorable for cobalt uptake by tomato plants. Cobalt improved the fruits quality. (Nadia Gad and Nagwa Hassan 2013). Found that all cobalt levels significantly increase growth and yield parameter of sweet pepper compared with control. Chao-Zhou et al., (2005) showed that, when the stress lasted 20 h and 24 h, treatment with cobalt effectively restrained the increament in the levels of reactive oxygen species, hampered the decline in the content of putrescine, spermidineand spermine.

The decline in the activities of anti oxdative enzymes, thus inhibited the accumulation of thiobarbituric acid

\*Author for correspondence : E-mail : drmahmoudmagdy2013@yahoo.com

reacting substances and alleviated the reduction of chlorophyll content. It can be concluded that when the potato leaves were deeply stressed and damaged through the inhibition of ethylene production, cobalt alleviated the decline in polyamine content and the activities of anti oxidative enzymes and hence alleviated the increment in reactive oxygen species levels and membrance damage and showed protective effects on the leaves. Vijayarengan et al., (2009) stated that, the high cobalt concentration i.e. 100, 150, 200 and 250 mg cobalt soil has a negative effect on bio chemicals content such as total sugar, starch, amino acids of groundnut seeds compared with untreated plants while gave a positive response upon low concentrations of cobalt in the soil. They also added that cobalt increased the mineral composition of fruits.(Nadia Gad et al., 2016) stated that all cobalt concentrations (3, 6, 9 and 12 ppm) significantly increase cabbage growth, yield parameters, nutritional status and chemical constituents compared with control Cobalt at 6 ppm gave the greatest figures.

Nadia Gad *et al.*, (2018) found that as soil salinity level increased, cucumber growth and yield parameters significantly reduced. Cobalt concentrations (from 10 to 20 ppm) have a significant promotive effect on all cucumber growth and yield parameters compared with untreated plants. Cobalt at 15 ppm gave the greatest values. Cobalt hence both nutritional status and chemical constituents in cucumber fruits compared with control. Cobalt help cucumber plants to tolerate water stress (80% and 60%) from water requirement. The aim of this work was carried to elaborate further the effect of cobalt application on tomato plant growth, yield quantity and quality.

# **Material and Methods**

## Soil analysis

Particle size distributions of the Research and

Production Station, National Research Centre, Noubaria Site, Beheara Governorate Cairo, Egypt along with soil moisture were determined as described by (Blackmore *et al.*, 1972). Soil pH, Ec, Cations and anions, organic matter, Ca CO<sub>3</sub>, total nitrogen, available phosphorous, potassium, iron, manganese, zinc and copper were run according to Black *et al.*, (1982). Determinations of soluble, available and total cobalt were determined according to method described by Cottenie *et al.*, (1982). Some physical and chemical properties of Noubaria soil are shown in Table 1.

# Plant material and experimental work

At 22 September 2016 seeds of tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentums Mill crs. 448) were sown in trays filled with a mixture of sand and peat moss (1:1 volume). Trays being kept under Wire-house condition with practicing agricultural management required for production of tomato seedlings. Plastic pots of ten kg capacity were filled with sandy loam soil taken from Research and Production Station, Egypt, National Research Centre, Noubaria site, Beheara Governorate, Delta Egypt Cairo, Egypt. Six Seedlings of five weeks – old with almost the same stem thickness were transplanted to 54 pots. Cobalt sulphate salt was used to in rich the soil with cobalt.

The concentrations used were 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0, 12.5, 15.0, 17.5 and 20 ppm cobalt once beside the control. Each treatment was six replicates and arranged in a randomized complete block design.Seedlings were Irrigated with tap water and practiced to keep soil at for 105 days. All required agriculture managements for growth and production were carried out as recommended by Ministry of Agriculture.

# Measurements of plant growth

After 60 days from transplanting, just before flowering stage, plant growth parameters such as plant height,

 Table 1: Some physical and chemical properties of Noubaria soil samples.

Physical			Particle size			texture	Saturation		Field	Welting		
		dis	tributio	on (%)	C	lass			capacity(%)	point	wat	er
Chemical		Sand	Silt	Clay								
		69.8	26.7	3.5	Sanc	ly loam	20	0.0	14.4	3.9	10	.5
		PH(1:2.5)	H(1:2.5)		EC(	EC(ds/m)Soluble cations (mee		eq/l) S	Soluble ani	ons (me	q/l)	
					Ca++	Mg++	K+	Na+	- HCO <sub>3</sub> -	CO <sub>3</sub> -	Cl-	$SO_4 =$
		8.0	1	.0	9.0	1.4	5.4	3.26	. —	1.18	6.60	2.4
Total		Available	A	vailable	micron	utrients	С	obalt	CaCO	3	OM	
	(PPm)			(I	PPm)		(	PPm)		%		
Ν	Р	Κ	Fe	Mn	Zr	n Cu	Sol	uble	Available	Total		
25.2	15.3	10.2	23.0	10.5	3.6	2 5.22	0.	39	1.78	9.68	3.17	0.19

number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, root length, shoot and root fresh and dry weights per plant were determined according to (FAO. 1980).

## Measurements of yield characteristics

After 90 - 105 days from transplanting tomato fruits were harvested and yield parameters such as number of fruits per plant, fruits fresh and dry weights per plant were recorded according to Gabal *et al.*, (1984).

# Measurements of nutritional status

In tomato fruits, macronutrients (N, P and K), micronutrients (Mn, Zn, Cu and Fe) as well as cobalt content were determined according to Cottenie *et al.*, (1982).

# Measurements of chemical constituents

In tomato fruits total proteins, total soluble solids, total soluble sugars, vitamin C as L-Ascorbic acid and tetrable acidity as citric acid were determined according to (A.O.A.C. 1995).

# Statistical analysis

All data were subject to statistical analysis according to procedure outlined by (SAS 1996) computer program and means were compared by LSD method according to (Snedecor and Cochran 1982).

# **Results and Discussion**

#### **Plant Growth**

Data presented in Table 2 outline the response of tomato plant growth to different cobalt concentrations. Data show that all cobalt levels gave a promotive effect of tomato growth parameters compared with control. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm has a highest figure of studied growth parameters such as plant hight, number of both branches and leaves, root length as well as fresh and dry weights of both shoot and root. Increasing cobalt level in plant media above 7.5 ppm, the promotive effect reduced. These data are in harmony with those obtained by (Nadia Gad, 2005a) who stated that cobalt significantly increased tomato growth parameters compared with control. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm has a positive effect due to several induced effects in hormonal (Auxins and Gibberlens) synthesis and metabolic activity and decrease the peroxidase and catalase enzymes activity. These enzymes are known to induce plant respiration, so superior resulting in successive consumption for products of photosynthesis and consequently reduced in plant growth and hence increasing the anabolism rather than the catabolism.

## **Yield Characteristics**

Data concerning the effect of cobalt on tomato yield parameters such as number of fruits per plant, fresh and dry weights of fruits per plant, fruits yield (kg/plant) are given in Table 3. The results clearly indicate that all cobalt levels has a favorable effect on the studied yield parameters compared with control. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm gave the highest values. Increasing cobalt level in plant growth media more than 7.5 ppm, the positive effect reduced, These results agrees with those obtained by (Vyrodova, 1981) who reported that the application of  $0.7 \text{ kg CoSO}_4^{\text{h-1}}$  increased the dry matter yield of tomatoes, cucumber and egg-plants. The results in Table 3 also show the relative calculated values as percentage from control.

Also, (Lisnik and Toma, 2003) added that cobalt has a favorable effect in both tomato and cucumber plants dry weight, leaves number, leaf area index as well as fruits yield.

#### Nutritional status

#### Macronutrients

Results in Table (4) indicate that the addition of cobalt

Cobalt treatments	Plant height	Num	ber \plant	Root length	Fresh we (g	ight/plant )	Dry weight/plant (g)		
(ppm)	(Cm)	Leaves	Brunches	(Cm)	Shoot			Root	
Control	38.8	12.23	6.27	7.93	28.2	6.01	7.83	1.73	
2.5	42.3	14.93	6.87	8.73	37.8	7.05	10.63	1.87	
5.0	46.8	16.03	7.43	9.83	42.2	8.19	11.17	2.03	
7.5	51.3	16.77	8.63	11.53	45.6	8.63	12.73	2.17	
10.0	49.4	15.97	8.03	10.97	43.3	8.41	12.17	2.11	
12.5	48.8	14.57	7.77	9.77	41.8	7.70	11.73	2.08	
15.0	47.7	12.67	7.23	9.13	40.5	7.31	11.27	2.01	
17.5	46.4	11.83	6.77	8.57	38.7	6.71	10.73	1.92	
20.0	45.6	11.63	5.97	8.17	36.9	6.32	9.98	1.86	
LSD 0.05	0.589	0.206	0.114	0.114	0.1094	0.0127	0.0934	0.0114	

#### Table 2: Effect of cobalt levels on growth parameters of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting.

Cobalt treatments treatments	No. of Fruits/plant		W. of plant	Relative from control	
(ppm)	3 harvests	(g)	(kg)	(%)	
Control	15.22	1597.1	1.59	100	
2.5	15.69	1678.8	1.68	105.1	
5.0	16.68	1785.8	1.79	111.8	
7.5	17.91	1918.7	1.92	120.1	
10.0	17.67	1890.5	1.89	118.4	
12.5	17.43	1856.2	1.86	116.2	
15.0	17.07	1809.4	1.81	113.3	
17.5	16.74	1757.7	1.76	110.1	
20.0	16.44	1726.2	1.73	108.1	
LSD 0.05	0.0136	0.1143	1.144		

 Table 3: Effect of cobalt levels on yield parameters of tomato plants after105 days from transplanting.

in plant media has a significant synergestic effect on the status of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium in tomato fruits compared with control. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm gave the greatest values. Increasing cobalt levels more than 7.5 ppm reduces the promotive effect. These data are in harmony with those obtained by Boureto *et al.*, (2001) who pointed that cobalt at 2.5 ppm in solution culture significantly increased N, P and K contents in tomato plants. Confirm these results (Xiong and Xia, 1985) who showed that the treatment with certain levels of cobalt gave the high contents of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium in tomato plants.

## Micronutrients (Mn, Zn and Cu)

Data in Table 4 also indicate that all cobalt rates significantly increase the status of Mn, Zn and Cu compared with untreated plants

The addition of 7.5 ppm cobalt has a significant promotive effect for better status of Mn, Zn and Cu in tomato fruits. These results are agree with those obtained by Castro *et al.*,

(1996) who found that cobalt addition in plant media has a positive effect on the contents of Mn, Zn and Cu in bean (phaseolus vejlgarisl)<sup>-L</sup> compared with control.

## **Iron status**

Results in Table 4 reveal that the certain antagonistic relationships between cobalt and iron (Bisht, 1991). The relative response of Fe to control indicates continous decrease of this element as a result of cobalt addition from 7.5 ppm till 20.0 ppm. These results are good agreement with those obtained by (James, 2005) who stated that cobalt and iron were suggested to be competitive elements in tomato plants nutrition.

## **Cobalt status**

Obtained results in Table 4 pointed that, as cobalt increased in plant media, cobalt in tomato fruits significantly increased. (Young, 1983) reported that the daily cobalt requirements for human nutrition could reach 8 ppm depending on cobalt levels in the local supply of drinking water without health hazard. A level of 7.50 ppm in the highest cobalt treatment (20.0 ppm) is below the dangerous level, since the daily consumption of tomato fruits does not exceed a few grams. Cobalt is also an essential constituent of vitamin B<sub>12</sub> which is considered yet found in human body.In fact cobalt is one of the most physiological potent compounds about a millionth of grams per day being required in human nutrition for normal growth and formation of red blood corbucels(Woodward and Easwaran, 2005).

## **Chemical Constituents**

Data concerning the effect of cobalt on chemical constituents of tomato fruits are given in Table 5. The

**Table 4:** Effect of cobalt levels on nutritional status of tomato fruits.

Cobalt treatments	Macro nutrients (%)			Micro nutrients (ppm)				Cobalt (ppm)		
	Ν	Р	K	Mn	Zn	Cu	Fe	Shoot	Root	Fruit
Control	0.389	0.238	0.422	19.77	14.57	20.97	123.67	1.59	0.86	1.03
2.5	0.397	0.266	0.446	20.43	14.87	21.87	121.66	2.41	1.13	1.61
5.0	0.422	0.294	0.468	22.03	15.53	23.63	118.67	4.03	2.31	2.41
7.5	0.468	0.319	0.491	24.83	18.23	26.03	114.67	5.22	3.43	2.51
10.0	0.461	0.319	0.487	23.87	17.77	25.13	110.66	7.83	4.81	3.46
12.5	0.454	0.311	0.478	22.53	17.17	23.87	110.66	8.43	6.01	4.19
15.0	0.448	0.308	0.469	21.73	16.86	23.27	107.67	10.53	7.62	4.83
17.5	0.440	0.289	0.459	20.67	15.77	22.77	105.67	11.33	8.91	6.27
20.0	0.431	0.281	0.453	20.13	15.13	21.67	103.66	13.97	10.17	7.50
LSD 0.05	9.904	9.904	9.904	0.114	0.099	0.099	0.990	0.094	0.058	0.034

Cobalt	Chemical constituents									
treatments (ppm)		(%)		Mg/100g fresh weight	(%)					
	Total proteins	Total soluble	Total soluble	Vitamin C as L-Ascorbic	Tertable acidity as cetric					
		solids	sugars	acid	acid					
Control	2.43	3.36	2.23	14.11	0.82					
2.5	2.48	3.87	2.31	15.51	0.76					
5.0	2.64	4.01	2.56	16.49	0.69					
7.5	2.93	4.83	2.98	17.51	0.54					
10.0	2.87	4.79	2.91	17.22	0.47					
12.5	2.83	4.74	2.87	16.70	0.42					
15.0	2.79	4.68	2.83	16.32	0.38					
17.5	2.75	4.64	2.76	15.79	0.35					
20.0	2.69	4.55	2.72	15.39	0.33					
LSD 0.05%	0.0099	0.0099	0.0099	0.0099	0.0099					

Table 5: Effect of cobalt levels on chemical constituents of tomato fruits.

results clearly indicate that, all cobalt levels significantly increase the studied chemical constituents compared with control. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm has a highest value for total soluble solids, total soluble sugars, total proteins as well as vitamin C as (L – Ascorbic acid). On the other hand, titrable acidity (as citric acid) showed negative response to all levels of cobalt as a fruits quality. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Nadia Gad *et al.*, (2017).

# Conclusions

Cobalt is promising element in the newly reclaimed soils and had a significant promotive effect of tomato growth, fruits yield, mineral composition as well as chemical constituents parameters. Cobalt at 7.5 ppm has superior values. Therefore, considerable attention should be taken concerning applying this element (cobalt) as a fertilizer. Cobalt significantly increases tomato fruits quantity and quality compared with control.

## References

- A.O.A.C. (1995). Method of analysis. Association of Official Agriculture Chemists. 16th Ed., Washington, D.C.USA.
- Atta Aly, M.A.; Nadia Gad and T.M. El-Kobbia, 1991.Effect of cobalt on tomato plant growth and mineral content. *Annals Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ.*, 36: 617-624.
- Bisht, J.C. (1991). Interrelation between mineral plant tissues iron and cobalt. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira*,**16**:739-746.
- Black, C.A., D.D. Evans, L.E. Ensminger, G.L. White and F.E. Clarck (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2.Agron.Inc. Madison.Wisc.
- Blackmore, A.D., T.D. Davis, Jolly and R.H. Walser (1972).

Methods of Chemical Analysis of Soils.Newzealand.Soil Dureau.PA2.1, Dep. No. 10.

- Boureto, A.E., M.C. Castro and J.N. Kagawa (2001). Effect of cobalt on sugar beet growth and mineral content. *Revistra Brasileira Sementes*, **18**: 63-68.
- Castro, Amc., A.E., Bouretto and J. Nakagawa (1996). Treatments of seeds of *phaseolus Vulgaris* L.with cobalt. *Revista-Brasileira-Sementes*, **16:** 26-30.
- Chao- Zhou Li., Di. Wang and G.Z. Wang (2005). The protective effect of cobalt on potato seedling leaves during osmotic stress. *Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin*, **46**: 119-125.
- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kiekens, G. Velgh and R. Camerlynck (1982). Chemical analysis of plant and soil.Chemical Analysis of Plants and Soils. *State Univ. Ghent Belgium*, 44-45.
- FAO (1980). Soil and plant testing as a basis of fertilizer recommendations. Soil Bull., 3812.
- Gabal, M.R., I.M. Abd-Allah, F.M. Hass and S. Hassannen (1984). Evaluation of some American tomato cultivars grown for early summer production in Egypt. *Annals of Agriculture Science Moshtohor*, 22: 487-500.
- James, D.B. (2005). Interrelation between minerals plant tissues. *J. Plant Nutrition*, **11:** 1236-1238.
- Jolley, V.D. (2004). Effect of cobalt application on structural organization phytosynthetic apparatus of tomato leaves. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **15**: 350.
- Lisnik, S.S. and S.I. Toma (2003). Regulation of adaptive responses of plant by trace elements. Akard, Nauk Mold. *SSR. Ser. Biol. Khim. Nouk.*, **2**:19.
- Markova, A.M (2001). Effect of cobalt on introduction of proceessin tomatoes region in the Northern region of Minas Gerais. *Hort. Brasileira*, **2(1):** 43-45.
- Nadia Gad. (2005 a). Interactive effect of cobalt and salinity on

tomato plants I- Growth and mineral composition as affected by cobalt and salinity. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences Pakistan*, **1(3):** 261-269.

- Nadia, Gad and Nagwa M.K. Hassan (2013). Response of growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) to cobalt nutrition. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 2(5): 760-765.
- Nadia, Gad (2005 b). Effect of cobalt on tomato growth, yield and fruit quality. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, **20(4):** 260-270.
- Nadia, Gad, M.R. Abdel-Moez, Doaa M. Abo- Basha and Nagwa and M.K. Hassan (2017). Mitigation the effect of salinity as a result of climate change by using cobalt on tomato production in newly reclaimed lands Current Science International ISSN: 2077-4435 Volume: 06 (4): 857-866.
- Nadia, Gad; Hala Kandil and Nagwa M.K. Hassan (2016). Influence of cobalt on cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.) yield characteristics. *International Journal of PharmTech Research*, CODEN (USA): IJPRIF, ISSN: 0974-4304, ISSN(Online): 2455-9563 9(12): 184-189.
- Nadia. Gad, M.R. Abdel-Moaz; M.E. Fekry Ali and S.D. Abou-Hussein (2018). Increasing salt tolerance in cucumber by using cobalt Middle East. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, : 08(2): April-June, 2018 Pages: 345-354 ISSN 2077-4613.
- Saraf, R.K. and J.P. Twari (2004). Effect of the rate of NPK fertilization the uptake of cobalt. *Plant and Soil*, **2:** 67.

- SAS (1996). Statistical analysis system, SAS users guide: statistics. SAS Institute Inc., Edition, Cary, NC.
- Smith, R.M. (1991). Trace elements in human and animal nutrition. Micronut.News.Info., 119.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1982). Statistical methods.7th Edition Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Vijayarengan, P., C.AbdulJaleel, Z. Chang-Xing, J. Kumar and M.M. Azooz (2009). Biochemical Variations in Groundnut under Cobalt Applications. Global. *Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 4(1): 19-22.
- Vyrodova, L.P. (1981). Influence of soil properties on the sorption of cobalt by plants. *Pochvovedenic Moskova Naauka*, 7: 64-73.
- Walser, R.H., V.D. Jolley and T.D. Davis (1996). Effect of cobalt application on structural organization of photosynthetic apparatus of tomato leaves. *Plant Nutr.*, **19:** 358-368.
- Woodward, A.C. and S.V. Easwaran (2005). The total synthesis of vitamine B12. *Pure Applied Chemis.*, 6:44.
- Xiong, F.o and M.Z. Xia (1985). A preliminary study on the physiological basis for the increase of yield by senergism of cobalt, P and K. *Plant physiol. Comm.*, **6:** 300-302.
- Young, S.R. (1983). Recent advances of cobalt inhuman nutrition. Victoria M.C. Canada. Micronutrients News, pp: 313.